

INTERNATIONAL ARCTIC RESEARCH CENTER

PROPOSAL REVIEWER'S FORM

Reviewer Name: _____

Proposal Due Date: _____

This proposal is **Rush**

Proposal Number: _____

Edited: no yes

If no, why not

PI(s) Submitting Proposal:

Reviewers: Please rate your review based on Criterion 1 and 2.

Criterion 1. What is the intellectual merit of the proposed activity?

(How important is the proposed activity to advancing knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields? How well qualified is the proposer to conduct the project? To what extent does the proposed activity suggest and explore creative and original concepts? How well conceived and organized is the proposed activity? Is there sufficient access to resources?)

Criterion 2. What are the broader impacts of the proposed activity?

(How well does the activity advance discovery and understanding while promoting teaching, training, and learning? Underrepresented groups? To what extent will it enhance the infrastructure for research and education, such as facilities, instrumentation, networks, and partnerships? Will the results be disseminated broadly to enhance scientific and technological understanding? What are the benefits of the proposed activity to society?)

Needs Editing: _____

Needs Spellcheck: _____

Reviewer Comments:

Reviewer Signature